

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,956	07/30/2003	Sung Sub Lcc	057586-00003	1790	
7590 06/15/2007 Gregor N. Neff		EXAMINER			
Kramer Levin	Naftalis & Frankel LLP		HSIA, SH	HSIA, SHERRIE Y	
New York, NY	of the Americas 7 10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	,		2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,956	LEE, SUNG SUB	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sherrie Hsia	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence addres	s
eriod for Reply	V 10 0ET TO EVDIDE 6.1	AONTHAN OF THEFTA (ON F	41/0
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
tatus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>. </u>		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	• •	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•	
pplication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority document 	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	= •	received in this National Stag	ge
application from the International Burea		transition	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	receivea.	
•			
	·		
Attachment(s)	A) [] 1-4	Summary (PTO-413)	

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/30/03.

6) Other: _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/630,956

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1, 6, 8 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 9, "unit" should be –remote control device--.

In claim 6, line 2, "a" should be -said--.

In claim 8, line 2, "member" should be –number--;

Lines 3 and 4, before "memory", --said—should be inserted;

Line 4, "a" should be -the--.

In claim 9, line 4, "a" should be ---said--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2, 3 and 9 recite the limitation "said groups" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the step of determining" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,956 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites a process for controlling the transmission of control signals, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Art Unit: 2622

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Olen (6750802).

As to claims 1, 4, 5 and 9, Olen discloses the claimed subject matter, the claimed transmitter is met by the transmission portion 50 (Fig. 2), the claimed plurality of favorite channel group keys is met by the favorite channel keys 26 (Fig. 1) and the claimed up/down key device is met by the up and down keys 30a, 30b (Fig.1) (see abstract, Figs. 1, 2, 3A, 3B, 4, column 5 line 3-column 16 line 57).

As to claim 2, the claimed limitation is disclosed by Olen (Fig. 3B, 4, column 10 line 66-column 11 line 56, column 12 lines 31-67, column 15 line 44-column 16 line 50).

As to claim 3, the claimed limitations are disclosed by Olen (Fig. 2, column 5 line 23-55).

As to claim 6, the claimed limitation is disclosed by Olen (column 9 line 34-column 10 line 65).

As to claim 7, the claimed limitation is disclosed by Olen (Fig. 3A).

As to claim 8, the claimed limitation is disclosed by Olen (column 8 lines 8-24, 47-67).

As to claim 10, the claimed MUTE switch is disclosed by Olen (Fig. 1, column 10 lines 6-12, column 15 lines 1-25).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherrie Hsia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7347.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,956

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (571) 272-1000.

Sherrie Hsia Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622

SH June 11, 2007